Checkpoint/Recovery

18-849b Dependable Embedded Systems John DeVale February 4, 1999

Required Reading: Application-Transparent Checkpointing in Mach 3.0/UX - Russinovich and Segall

Best Tutorial:Libckpt: Transparent Checkpointing under Unix
Usenix Winter 1995 Technical ConferenceAuthoritative Books:Software Fault Tolerance, Michael R. Lyu (ed)



Overview: Checkpointing - Recovery

Introduction

• Method of creating fault tolerant software systems

Key concepts

- Periodically saves process/system state
- In the event of a fault, state is restored via a rollback
- Scales to distributed/parallelized applications

Tools / techniques / metrics

- Stratus VOS and Tandem NonStop Kernel
- libFt , libckpt

Relationship to other topics

• Fault Tolerant Computing technique

Conclusions & future work

Checkpoint - Recovery... The basic picture



Checkpoint mechanism copies the state of process A into nonvolatile storage

Restore mechanism copies the last known checkpointed state of process A back into memory and continues processing. This mechanism is especially useful for application which may run for long periods of time before reaching a solution.

Where we are



Description of Topic

 Checkpoint-Recovery gives an application or system the ability to save its state, and tolerate failures by enabling a failed executive to recover to an earlier safe state.

Key ideas

- Saves executive state
- Provides recovery mechanism in the presence of a fault
- Can allow tolerance of any non-apocalyptic failure
- Provides mechanism for process migration in distributed systems for fault tolerance reasons or load balancing

Saves Executive State

- When a checkpoint is executed, a snapshot of all program state is saved into some non-volatile, machine accessible medium.
 - Time
 - Space
 - Memory Exclusion *new idea*
 - Ref:Memory Exclusion: Optimizing the Performance of Checkpointing Systems James Plank, submitted for publication, SP&E
 - Allows the checkpointing mechanism to be told, and/or dynamically determine what memory structures are an important part of program state, and only save those structures.
 - Saves time AND space

Provides Recovery Mechanism

- Once a fault has occurred, the recovery mechanism restores the program to the last checkpointed state
 - Current automatic Unix based tools wait for the process to abort, and restore it after abort.
 - Time constraint may not allow for this length of recovery
 - In the presence of a software design fault, rollback mechanism needs more complexity to allow rollback to a previous state, yet retain knowledge of faulted path of execution.
 - Stratus, Tandem seem to handle this, but details are sketchy

Failure Tolerance

 Faults can be tolerated, even those which may physically destroy the processing site

- Geographically distant sites with a synchronized distributed systems can perform coordinated checkpoints and process migration.
- Transient faults and glitches tolerated as a matter of course through the normal checkpoint-recovery system

Tools / Techniques

libFT - AT&T research labs

- Provides checkpoint recovery and watchdog demons
- http://www.research.att.com/sw/tools/reuse/packages/ft.html

IibCKPT - University of Tenn. Knoxville

- Provides incremental checkpoint recovery library, with memory exclusion
- http://www.cs.utk.edu/~plank/plank/www/libckpt.html

PMCKPT

- The Poor man's checkpoint
- http://warp.dcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/warp/systems/checkpoint/source.html

CONDOR

- Process migration for load balancing
- http://www.cs.wisc.edu/condor

General Links

• http://warp.dcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/warp/systems/checkpoint/

Metrics

Key Metrics in Checkpoint - Recovery

- Snapshot Time
 - How long it takes to identify and copy (to intermediate storage) all required program state
- Commit Time
 - How long it takes to copy snapshot into non-volatile storage
- Recovery Time
 - How long it takes to restore state to a failed process

Dependant on state size and system performance

Relationship To Other Topic Areas

Fault Tolerant Computing

- Checkpoint Rollback is a technique which can be used to build fault tolerance into a computing system
- In its current form it very capably saves process state and can create a new process and restore old state to it in the case of a process failure

SW fault tolerance

- Related to SW fault tolerance by sharing a common goal
- Scope of the solutions are on a much different scale
 - SW fault tolerance focuses more on making software not crash
 - Traditional checkpointing focuses on recovering from the crash in a graceful manner while preserving computational state and critical data.

Conclusions & Future Work

Checkpoint-Recovery provides

- Ability to save and restore state for critical applications
- Useful for single computer systems and large distributed or parallel systems
- Can incur large time penalties during checkpointing

Future Work

- Design for Checkpoint-Recovery
 - Design critical systems to have as small a critical state as possible
 - » Breakdown task into smaller subsystems which can be checkpointed separately
 - » Self recovering state
- Task restart may not be possible in small RT/Embedded systems
 - Support at the OS level to allow micro checkpoints and rollbacks at a task level

Application-Transparent Checkpointing in Mach

- Paper presents methodology for checkpoint-recovery
- Performance varied with memory footprint
 - Typically <5 sec checkpoint cost (first) less for subsequent
 - Larger commit delays 10 to 30 sec of degraded performance
 - Recovery times 5 to 10 sec for reasonably sized applications

Major Contributions

- Provides roadmap on how one might build in transparent checkpointing
- Can checkpoint and restore entire system state in X

Limitations

- Time costly
- Requires custom pager in OS
- Does not address memory exclusion (trade-off for transparency)