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Housekeeping

• Your goal today: understand Intel’s interpretation of OpenCL for FPGAs

• Notices
  – Handout #5: lab 2, due Monday, 10/10
  – Project status report due each Friday

• Readings (see lecture schedule online)
  – See also SYCL/DPC++
Khronos’ OpenCL
Two Parts to OpenCL

1. Platform model
   - **host** (processor & memory)
   - 1 or more accelerator **devices**
     + device-side mem hierarchy: **global/local/private**
   - APIs for **host-thread** to interact with devices
     - launch compute **kernels** to devices
     - prepare (load/unload) device memory

2. Kernel programming language
   - perfect triply-nested loops
   - no loop-carried dependence

OpenCL terms introduced in **bold**
What are these “compute devices”???
Basic Host Program Example

```c
main ( ) {
    ... get device handle and queue ... 
    ... allocate memory buf objects ... 
    ... get kernel object ... 
    while ( ) {
        ... initialize memory buf data ... 
        ... bind buf objects to kernel arguments ... 
        ... add kernel and buf objects to device queue ... 
        ... wait for kernel to finish ... 
        ... retrieve memory buf object for result ... 
    }
}
```

What are these “kernels”???
What are these kernels?

Specifically talking about OpenCL C
Conceptually . . .

for (int i=0; i < R_0; i++)
    for (int j=0; j < R_1; j++)
        for (int k=0; k < R_2; k++) {
            << local variable declarations >>
            << arbitrary C-code with access to global memory >>
        }

• Loop body must be data-parallel
  – local variables limited to scope
  – disallow loop-carried dependencies through global memory

==> statements from different iterations can interleave in any order (using disambiguated local variables)
Concretely . . .

- Only specify loop body as a **kernel function**

  ```c
  __kernel foo(<
      points to global mem buf>) {
    int i=get_global_id(2), j=get...(1), k=get...(0);
    << local variable declarations >>
    << arbitrary C-code with access to
    global memory >>
  }
  ```

- Triply-nested loops hardwired as **NDRange**
  - specified as 3 integer constants, i.e., the loop bounds \((R_0, R_1, R_2)\)
  - 1 execution of kernel function is a **work-item**
    - work-item has **private memory** for local var’s
  - 1 kernel execution is \(R_0 \times R_1 \times R_2\) work-items
Example: N-by-N MMM

```c
__kernel mmm(__global float *A, ... *B, ... *C) {
    int i=get_global_id(1);
    int j=get_global_id(0);

    for(int k=0; k<N; k++)
        C[i*N+j]=C[i*N+j]+A[i*N+k]*B[k*N+j];
}
```

- **NDRange=(N, N, 1)**
  - kernel function executed by NxNx1 work-items
  - each work-item sees a different combination of dimension-0 and dimension-1 global id’s
  - no assumption about work-items’ relative progress
(For Your Reference: N-by-N MMM in C)

```c
float A[N][N], B[N][N], C[N][N];

for(int i=0; i<N; i++)
    for(int j=0; j<N; j++) {
        for(int k=0; k<N; k++)
            C[i][j]=C[i][j]+A[i][k]*B[k][j]
    }
```

• Note:
  – Loop body of the inner-most loop is not data-parallel---dependency through `C[i][j]`
  – Loop body of the second inner-most loop is
Work-Group

- Partition NDRange of $R_0 \times R_1 \times R_2$ work-items into 3D work-groups of $G_0 \times G_1 \times G_2$ work-items
  - $G_{0/1/2}$ must divide $R_{0/1/2}$ evenly
  - `get_local_id(dim)`: id within group
  - `get_group_id(dim)`: id of group

- Work-group signifies “locality” btw work-items
  - execute together by a processing element
  - can share per-group local memory
  - can synchronize by `barrier()`

Why do we have this?
OpenCL Kernels on GPGPUs

• Work-item is a **CUDA thread**

• Work-group executes as a **thread block**---broken down into 32-work-item SIMD **Warps**

• Work-groups from same and different kernels are interleaved on a **Streaming Processor**

  128-SIMD lanes, 1 INT+1 FMA per lane, 1.73GHz

• 1 kernel could fully consume all 20 StrmProc’s (as 1 compute device), peak 8,873 GFLOPS

• Global=GDDR; local=**shared memory** 96KB SRAM; private=**register file** 256KB SRAM

  *Nvidia terms in italic-bold; numbers for GTX 1080*
To fully utilize the 8,873 GFLOPS . . .

- # work-items ≥ 128 x StrmProc pipeline depth x 20
- Computation entirely of Fused-Multiply-Add insts
  Interleaved warps so no RAW stalls within a thread
- No if-then-else (branch divergence)

By the way:

- 320 GB/sec DRAM BW ⇒ AI > 108 SP FP / float
- ld’s and st’s take up inst. issue BW off-the-top
- only certain access pattern can sustain peak BW
  – SIMD ld’s and st’s in a warp must go to the same memory line (memory coalescing)
Aside: Barrel Processor [HEP, Smith]

- Each cycle, select a “ready” thread from scheduling pool
  - only one instruction per thread in flight at once
  - on a long latency stall, remove the thread from scheduling
- Simpler and faster pipeline implementation since
  - no data dependence, hence, no stall or forwarding
  - no penalty in making pipeline deeper
- Cost: need to hold multiple context state
Intel OpenCL for FPGA
Compute devices synthesized from kernel functions
Example: N-by-N MM “Add”

```c
__kernel mma(__global float *A, ... *B, ... *C) {
    int i=get_global_id(1);
    int j=get_global_id(0);

}
```

- NDRRange=(N, N, 1)
- Note in this example:
  - data-parallel kernel function
  - no loop in kernel function
Fully-pipelined Kernel Datapath

\[ \text{NDRange} = (N, N, 1) \]

\[ \text{(gid0, gid1) stream} = (0, 1), \ldots (0, N-1), (1, 0), \ldots (1, N-1), \ldots (N-1, 0), \ldots (N-1, N-1) \]

\[ \text{addr} \]

\[ \text{load} \]

\[ \text{add} \]

\[ \text{store} \]

\[ \&A[i*N+j] \]

\[ \&B[i*N+j] \]

\[ \&C[i*N+j] \]

\[ \text{fully unrolled loop in kernel fxn also okay} \]
What about MMM?

```c
__kernel mmm(__global float *A, ... *B, ... *C) {
    int i = get_global_id(1);
    int j = get_global_id(0);
    for (int k = 0; k < N; k++)
}
```

- NDRange=(N, N, 1)
- Can’t easily pipeline work-items like before
- PE can unroll and pipelines the k iterations
  - dependency on C[i*N+j]
  - kernel function scope limits the tricks we can play

Try barrel pipeline like in GPU?
Single Work-Item Kernel:

clEnqueueTask()

```c
__kernel mmm(__global float *A, ... *B, ... *C) {
    for(int i=0; i<N; i++)
        for(int j=0; j<N; j++)
            for(int k=0; k<N; k++)
```

- NDRange=(1, 1, 1)  
  never do this on GPU!!

- Arbitrary control flow (loops, if’s) and dependencies

- Becomes just “regular” C-to-HW synthesis
  - pipeline and parallelize loops
  - schedule for initiation-interval, resource, etc.

Only want OpenCL’s platform model and API;
“work-group” & “work-item” not too meaningful
Use of Kernel-Kernel Channels

- GPU multi-kernel OpenCL program
  - kernel computes from global-mem to global-mem
  - next kernel continues from last kernel’s output buf
  - producer and consumer kernels fully serialized
- For streaming processing on FPGA, connect kernels with streaming channels to bypass DRAM
  - concurrent producer and consumer kernels
  - reduce DRAM and PCIe bandwidth requirements

Different programming mindset from GPU
Program-to-HW, not Function-to-IP

Object of design/optimization is the entire FPGA system
Performance-Geared Synthesis

- Automatic pipelining and unrolling
  - no pipeline directive, but disable_loop_pipelining so you still have control
  - unroll factor controls extent of unrolling
- Local Memory (BRAM) Optimizations
  - if you don’t say anything, AOC does it’s best
  - banks, replicates, private copies and interconnect

\[
\text{byte/cycle} = \text{width} \times \text{ports}
\]
Global Memory (DRAM) Optimizations

- Load-Store Units
  - automatically chosen based on access pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sequential</th>
<th>Random Access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area Efficient</td>
<td>Prefetching</td>
<td>Pipelined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Expensive</td>
<td>Burst-Coalesced</td>
<td>Burst-Coalesced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cached (loads only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Constant cache memory
- DDR banking automatically handled
Parting Thoughts

• OpenCL = platform model/API + SIMD language
  – kernel language forces regular and explicit parallelism
  – SIMD parallelism different on GPUs vs FPGAs

GPUs great at SIMD; FPGAs good for more than SIMD

• FPGA OpenCL = platform model/API + “smart” memory system + “regular” kernel HLS
  – single-work-item kernel unlocks parallelism style
  – kernel-kernel channels alleviate DRAM and PCIe bottleneck for streaming use cases
  – develop/debug/analysis tools integral to appeal

• Same tool for HPC and SDSoC, but used differently