

Deliverable	Evaluation	Points Given	Points Possible	Evaluation Criteria
PROJECT 4	Grading TA	0	110	Single grade for student reflects both individual and project grades
Individual grades:				
p04...student_[...].cpp		0	20	
	Fixes peer review items		10	All items with "fixed" in peer review log are addressed
	Adheres to P3 Checklist		10	Code adheres to Project 3 Checklist style items
p04_writeup_[...].pdf		0	10	
	Q0 Name		1	Name is on sheet; not just in file name
	Answers Q1		3	States whether the review missed anything
	Answers Q2		3	Lists anything student would leave out of process
	Answers Q3		3	Lists any problems with peer review group
p04_review_[...].xls		0	65	
	(For student's OWN code)		50	(Only one spreadsheet, for review of student's OWN code)
	Peer review item status		5	Status for all peer review items updated appropriately
	Other student spreadsheets		10	Divide up according to # of students in group (2 other students is 5 points each)
p04_maze_[...].gif		0	12	(also OK: .jpg, .png, .tif, .bmp, .pdf; if some other file format check with TA)
	Screen shot of annotated maze run		12	Screenshot of m2.maze has >=20 cells visited AND >=5 cells visited 2+ times
P04_[...].zip		0	3	
	Follows naming convention		3	All files follow the naming convention as instructed in the writeup

Notes

Partial credit at TA discretion
.5 pt/item